UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,060	07/15/2003	Robert M. Guidash	85354PCW	7686
Thomas H. Clos	7590 03/09/200 se	EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff			YODER III, CHRISS S	
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/620,060	GUIDASH, ROE	BERT M.
CHRISS S. YODER III	2622	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 22 December 2008.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period stice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 17 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
•	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>CHRISS S. YODER III</u> .	(3) <u>LIN YE</u> .
(2) <u>SINH TRAN</u> .	(4)
/Lin Ye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622	/Sinh N Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622